Transport in Silicon-Germanium Heterostructures

Daniel Chrastina

This Thesis is submitted in partial fulfilment of the requirements toward the degree of Doctor of Philosophy.

University of Warwick, Department of Physics

September 2001

Table of Contents

1 - Acknowledgements, Declaration and Abstract ------------------------1

Acknowledgements--1
Declaration--2
Abstract---3

2 - Introduction to Transport in Silicon Germanium ---------------------4

References---6

3 - General Theory of Transport in Silicon-Germanium Alloys -------7

3.1 Principle Advantages In Using A Silicon-Germanium Heterostructure------------------7
3.1.1 Effective Mass--10
3.1.2 Band-Gap Engineering---10
3.1.3 Strain---11
3.2 The 2-Dimensional Carrier Gas--12
3.3 Scattering---13
3.3.1 Impurities---14
3.3.2 Interface Roughness---14
3.3.3 Alloy Effects--14
3.3.4 Phonons---15
References---16

4 - Experimental Details --18

4.1 Standard Characterization Methods---18
4.1.1 Drift Mobility--18
4.1.2 Classical Hall Effect---19
Single Carrier Classical Hall Effect---19
Generalized Classical Hall Effect--21
4.1.3 Quantum Effects at High Magnetic Fields--26

4.1.4 Quantum Effects at Low Magnetic Fields----32
Weak localization----32
Interactions and Spin-Splitting----35
4.2 Measuring Equipment----37
4.2.1 Room Temperature IV Measurements----37
Making Contact to Unmounted Samples----37
IV Measurement with the Hewlett-Packard HP4145 Parameter Analyzer----37
4.2.2 Low Temperature Measurement Issues----38
Sample Mounting----38
Lock-In Amplifiers and Automated Computer Recording.----38
Current Heating----39
Cryostats----39
References----40

5 - p-channel Devices ----42

5.1 Abstract----42
5.2 Introduction----42
5.3 Structure of Devices----42
5.3.1 Research Scale Device on Wafer 55/53----42
5.3.2 Commercial Scale Siemens Device----44
5.4 Experimental Considerations----46
5.4.1 Current Heating----46
5.4.2 ESD Protection----46
5.5 Results from 55/53----47
5.5.1 Resistivity as a Function of Temperature----52
5.5.2 Mobility----52
5.5.3 Low-Field Magnetoresistance----56
Analysis of the Dephasing Time, τ_φ----66
Carrier Interactions----67
Zero Point Fluctuations----69
High Frequency Fluctuations----69
5.5.4 High-Field Magnetoresistance----73
5.6 Results from the Siemens Device----79
5.6.1 Room-Temperature IV Characterization----79
5.6.2 Mobility as a Function of Sheet Density and Temperature----79
Calculations of Mobility as a Function of Sheet Density----85

5.6.3 Resistance as a Function of Temperature and Sheet Density---93
5.6.4 Magnetoresistance---98
5.7 Conclusions---102
5.7.1 55/53---102
5.7.2 Siemens Device---103
References---106

6 - Transport in an n-channel Strained Silicon Device ---112

6.1 Abstract---112
6.2 Introduction---112
6.3 Structure---112
6.4 Classical Magnetoresistance and Mobility Spectrum Analysis---114
6.4.1 Maximum Entropy Method of Mobility Spectrum Analysis---120
6.4.2 "Bryan's Algorithm Mobility Spectrum" Results---124
The Dopant Layer---131
The 2-Dimensional Electron Gas---132
"Mirror" Peaks---132
6.4.3 Obtaining Semiconductor Properties from a Mobility Spectrum---134
Sheet Density and Mobility---134
Hall Scattering Factor---136
6.5 Resistivity and Mobility as a Function of Temperature---138
6.6 Quantum Hall Effect---141
6.6.1 Shubnikov-de Haas---141
6.6.2 IV at a Quantum Hall plateaux---148
6.7 The Effects of Infra-Red Radiation---150
6.7.1 Shubnikov-de Haas---150
6.7.2 Resistance as a Function of Temperature---152
6.8 Conclusion---156
6.8.1 Mobility and Resistivity---156
6.8.2 Mobility Spectrum Analysis---157
Methodology---157
Results---159
6.8.3 Other Results---161
References---162

7 - Coupled Channel Devices --166

7.1 Abstract--166
7.2 Introduction---166
7.2.1 Interactions---167
7.2.2 Vertical CMOS---169
7.3 Structure and Fabrication---172
Expected Electronic Properties--174
7.4 Results of IV Characterization--176
7.4.1 Basic 2-Terminal Characterization at 300 K---176
Shallow Contact Results---176
Deep Contact Results--178
Interpretation of Room Temperature Results--178
Reduced Separation Between 2DEG and 2DHG---182
7.4.2 2-terminal Characterization at Low Temperature---185
Contact Issues---185
Hysteresis--190
Conduction Between Deep and Shallow Contacts---------------------------------------193
7.5 Hall Effect Results--196
Interpretations of Hall Effect Results---198
Possible Causes of Hysteresis---200
7.6 Analysis--201
Carrier Gas Formation---201
Issues with the Original Design--203
Calculation of the Expected Sheet Density--207
7.7 Specifications For New Structures--208
7.7.1 Vertically Integrated MOSFET--208
7.7.2 Co-existing Electron-Hole Gas Systems---209
References---213

8 – Conclusions --216

Tables and Figures

Table 3.1 Transport properties of some bulk semiconductors at 300K------------------------------**9**

Figure 3.1 A schematic band profile of an inverted, pseudomorphic structure which shows how a 2-dimensional carrier gas (in this case, of holes) is formed. When brought together, dopant atoms ionize and charge accumulates at the nearest heterointerface.---------------------**8**

Figure 3.2 The upper panel gives a closer view of the heterointerface, where the 2DHG forms. E_0 and E_1 represent the two lowest quantum energy levels of the triangular potential well formed by the valence band. The simple Fang-Howard wavefunction is shown, which assumes that the vertical potential barrier is effectively infinite. The lower panel shows how the Fermi Energy E_F depends on the sheet density in the simplest case of constant, isotropic effective mass.---**12**

Table 4.1 Parameters used to generate the magnetoresistance curves of Figure 4.1. ---------**24**

Figure 4.1 Magnetoresistance calculated for four different systems. They are (a) a single carrier gas with a large mobility width, (b) two carrier gases with large width, (c) two "ideal" gases and (d) two gases, one of which contains carriers of the opposite charge (represented by a "negative" mobility and sheet density).---**25**

Figure 4.2 A 2DEG showing Shubnikov-de Haas and Quantum Hall Effects at a temperature of 350mK. The dotted line is the transverse resistivity and is associated with the y-axis on the right. Minima in resistivity are labelled with their corresponding filling factors. Conduction is dissipationless at ν=4.---**28**

Figure 4.3 A schematic representation of weak localization. On the left, a classical trajectory featuring a loop is shown. However, in quantum-mechanical terms the wave-function must be single valued at the intersection point (shown on the right: fine lines represent equal-probability contours) and part of the probability current (shown by arrows) becomes localized in the loop. Inelastic scattering will destroy the time-reversal symmetry and break this coherence, whilst a magnetic field through the loop will change the phase of each of the paths relative to each other.---**34**

Table 5.1 Dephasing times obtained from fits (similar to those shown in Figure 5.10) were plotted against temperature for each sheet density in Figure 5.12. Fits to these data yield the index p which should be close to unity.---**61**

Table 5.2 Parameters used in the calculations presented in Figures 5.28, 5.29 and 5.30. Those in italics were taken from the literature and held constant whilst those in normal type were varied to create a fit to the experimental data, based on initial values from the literature. ---**91**

Figure 5.1 The structure of sample 55/53, a research scale p-channel device featuring inverted doping and a pseudomorphic alloy layer ---**43**

Figure 5.2 The structure of the Siemens device---**45**

Figure 5.3 The circuit diagram of the ESD protection system: the gate is protected from short spikes and sudden changes by a low-pass filter (with a time constant of the order of a few milliseconds) whilst the back-to-back Zener diode arrangement ensures that V_{GS} is limited to a safe value. The two current and four voltage contacts are each protected by a pair of silicon diodes in anti-parallel which prevent potentials of more than a few hundred millivolts from building up.--**48**

Figure 5.4 The direct-current transfer characteristic of the gate protection circuit in Figure 5.3. It can be seen that as increasing gate voltages are applied, current (dashed line) begins to flow through to earth through the Zener diodes, and the voltage presented to the gate of the device (solid line) is reduced. For small applied voltages, the leakage current is negligible.--**49**

Figure 5.5 The direct-current transfer characteristic of the contact protection circuit in Figure 5.3. As the applied voltage reaches a few hundred millivolts, the current (dashed line) through the silicon diodes quickly reaches the 1mA compliance limit of the HP4148 performing the measurement and the voltage presented to the device (solid line) saturates. For small applied voltages, the leakage current is negligible.---**50**

Figure 5.6 The IV characteristics of the gate of the device on wafer 55/53 at 350mK, showing leakage similar to that shown by a Schottkey diode. At 2.7V, the current reaches the set compliance limit of the HP parameter analyzer. Operation was limited to gate voltages in the range -1V to +1V.---**51**

Figure 5.7 A summary of the temperature dependence of the resistance of the device on wafer 55/53, at varying sheet density. High sheet densities (greater than $3\times10^{11}cm^{-2}$) are

"metallic" in that the resistance increases with temperature, and in these cases the resistance seems to saturate as temperature decreases. At the lowest sheet density (of 1.83×10^{11}cm^{-2}) the resistance is increasingly weakly as temperature decreases.------------------------------------**53**

Figure 5.8 The gate voltage dependence of the sheet carrier density in device 55/53, from the Hall effect at 350mK, using a field of 0.5T. Results from three different drive currents are shown: lower currents are noisier since voltage signals are smaller.--------------------------------**54**

Figure 5.9 The relationship between mobility and sheet density (calculated using the Hall effect at 350mK with a field of 0.5T) for device 55/53. As in Figure 5.8, lower current drives produce noisier results, but here mobility also appears to increase (for a given sheet density) as current drive decreases. Solid lines are $\mu = n\frac{e}{p_S h}$ where n is an integer, the dotted line is for n=½.--**55**

Figure 5.10 Low-field magnetoresistance of 55/53 at a sheet density of 1.8×10^{11}cm^{-2}, offset by the zero-field value of the resistance (15kΩ at 0.35K falling to 13kΩ at 1.46K implying $k_F l$~1.7). The noisy lines are data, the smooth lines are fits to Equation 4.38 produced with the parameters given in Figure 5.11.--**58**

Figure 5.11 Fitting parameters used to create the smooth lines in Figure 5.10. -----------------**60**

Figure 5.12 The temperature dependance of the dephasing times summarized from data similar to that presented in Figure 5.10. The fits shown are for $\tau_\psi \propto T^{-p}$ and values for p are given in Table 5.1.---**62**

Figure 5.13 A summary of the elastic scattering times from data similar to that presented in Figure 5.10.---**63**

Figure 5.14 These values of the transport scattering lifetime were calculated from the Hall mobility at a field of 0.5T, and are provided for comparison with Figure 5.12 and Figure 5.13. ---**64**

Figure 5.15 Values of the renormalized screening parameter, summarized from data similar to that presented in Figure 5.10. According to its theoretical derivation, F^* can only take values between zero and ~0.866.---**65**

Figure 5.16 A summary of dephasing times, calculated by various theories and compared to data from Figure 5.12. The AAG line is based on Equation 5.2. MJW was produced by fitting Equation 5.7 including phonons, but as the text suggests requires unreasonably strong electron-phonon scattering; MJW(2) is Equation 5.7 alone. Equation 5.8 was used to produce the GZ line.---**71**

Figure 5.17 Magnetoresistance of 55/53 in the low temperature, high field regime where Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations and the Quantum Hall Effect are visible. Sheet densities (calculated from the Hall effect) are such that the 2DHG is metallic in character. In general, the sheet density calculated from the positions of the minima and maxima in the oscillations will not exactly match that from the Hall effect.--**74**

Figure 5.18 Magnetoresistance of 55/53 in the low temperature, high field regime where sheet densities are such that the 2DHG is insulating in character. The vertical axis has been rescaled by the magnitude of the zero-field resistance for each sheet density: in absolute terms, the resistance peak for the lowest sheet density is of the order of 1MΩ, nearly two orders of magnitude greater than the peak in the highest sheet density (just over 20kΩ). The horizontal axis has been scaled using the Shubnikov-de Haas rather than the Hall sheet density.---**75**

Figure 5.19 A comparison of the sheet density extracted from the Hall effect (from Figure 5.8) with that found from Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations.-----------------------------------**76**

Figure 5.20 Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations as a function of temperature, for fields low enough to avoid spin-splitting. Sheet density from the Hall effect is 3.79×10^{11}cm^{-2} but from the period of the oscillations is 4.22×10^{11}cm^{-2}. The drive current is nominally 50nA.-----------**78**

Figure 5.21 Room temperature IV results for the Siemens device. The gate-source threshold Voltage V_{TS} is around -1.0V. Drain current rises linearly with drain-source voltage for gate-source voltages more negative than this. Behaviour is consistent with that of a p-channel MOSFET.---**80**

Figure 5.22 Early Hall-effect results at low temperature: sheet density increases linearly as the gate voltage becomes more negative, but the linearity breaks down eventually. (The gate capacitance is ~0.2pF)---**81**

Figure 5.23 More early Hall-effect results, showing typical behaviour for the mobility as a function of sheet density.---**82**

Figure 5.24 Hall-effect results at 350mK (with a drain current of 10nA) showing the dependence of sheet density on gate voltage. The good agreement between the results at two different values of magnetic field suggest either that the Hall results are very close to the true ("drift") results, or that the mobility of the 2DHG is very small. From this, the capacitance of the gate is ~0.3pF. (The gate voltage was swept in both directions, and the results averaged, for each field.)--**83**

Figure 5.25 The mobility at 350mK peaks at more than 1700cm^{2}V^{-1}s^{-1} but this value seems worse than that seen in Figure 5.23. Again, values at two different fields match closely. -----**84**

Figure 5.26 From Equation 4.6, ρ_{XY} should be inversely proportional to p_S at a constant field. The x-axis has been scaled from gate voltage to sheet density using a straight-line fit to Figure 5.24, but the data clearly show anomalous quasi-oscillatory features. Importantly, these features appear not to depend on the magnetic field.---**86**

Figure 5.27 Hall mobility as a function of sheet density, using magnetic fields of ±1T, at temperatures from 350mK up to almost room-temperature. Lines are shown at $\mu = 2^n \frac{e}{p_S h}$ with solid lines at n=0,1,2,3 and 4 and dotted lines at n=-1 and -2.---------------------------------**87**

Figure 5.28 Calculated mobility at 25K, showing contributions from each of the relevant mechanisms: It can be seen that interface impurities limit the mobility at the lowest sheet densities, with surface roughness becoming dominant over most of the rest of the range. The optical-phonon-limited mobility is off the scale.---**89**

Figure 5.29 Comparison of mobility calculated and measured across the whole temperature range. The parameters used for the calculation are those from the fit at 25K, shown in Table 5.2.--**90**

Figure 5.30 Resisitivity as a function of temperature, comparing experimental data with calculations similar to those demonstrated in Figure 5.28 and Figure 5.29. ----------------------**94**

Figure 5.31 Temperature dependence of the resistivity around the metal-insulator transition. At the lowest sheet density shown, resistivity increases as temperature decreases but may be saturating. At larger sheet densities, the resistivity decreases to a saturation value as the temperature decreases. (The behaviour changes little as sheet density continues to increase.) ---**95**

Figure 5.32 A summary of parameters for fitting Equation 5.15 to the data in Figure 5.31: at low sheet densities the third term does not contribute at these temperature so $\rho_l \to 0$ and τ is undefined.--**99**

Figure 5.33 Magnetoresistance data at 350mK, with the zero field value of the resistance subtracted in each case. The magnetoresistance is always positive, in contrast to Figure 5.17. --**100**

Figure 5.34 This magnetoresistance data was obtained during an early phase of device characterization by other workers. There are clear Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations at this temperature (1.47K) but the device was destroyed before further measurements could be performed.---**101**

Table 6.1 A summary of the changes in carrier concentration in response to infra-red light, from Figure 6.17. The Hall results are calculated from the gradient of $\rho_{XY}(B)$ using Equation 4.7 and the Shubnikov-de Haas results are calculated from the period of the oscillations of $\rho_{XX}(B)$ in inverse magnetic field using Equation 4.27, including a factor of 2 for valley degeneracy.---**152**

Figure 6.1 The structure of the n-type device. There is a thick, graded layer followed by an alloy buffer layer. There is heavy n-type doping above the active channel and there is no gate.--**113**

Figure 6.2 Magnetoresistance at 294K. It is clear from the gradient of the ρ_{XY} data that the Hall coefficient is decreasing as magnetic field increases.--**115**

Figure 6.3 Magnetoresistance at 91K. The scales of the vertical axes has changed from Figure 6.2, but the forms of the curves are similar.---**116**

Figure 6.4 Magnetoresistance at 26K. The Hall coefficient is effectively constant with respect to magnetic field. For fields up to 4T, there is little longitudinal magnetoresistance: this corresponds to the limit of $\hbar\omega_C \sim k_B T$. Eventually, as temperature decreases, Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations will appear.--**117**

Figure 6.5 Mobility spectrum results produced using maximum entropy analysis. The temperature is shown on each plot. In five of the spectra there are two peaks; the lower mobility peak is ascribed to conduction in the arsenic dopant slab, the higher mobility peak to

the 2-dimensional electron gas itself, in the strained silicon active channel. The mobility of the 2DEG peak generally increases as temperature decreases. The third peak in the 130K results will be discussed in the text. The vertical scale in each case is irrelevant: it is the area under each peak that is of importance, not their heights.---**125**

Figure 6.6 (continuation from Figure 6.5) As temperature decreases further, the mobility of the 2DEG peak continues to increase while the dopant slab peak diminishes.-----------------**126**

Figure 6.7 (continuation from Figure 6.6 but with an extended x-axis scale) The 2DEG peak reaches a high mobility, and only faint vestiges and artifacts remain in the rest of the spectrum. The exception is the 4.5K result, which features a significant peak in the "negative mobility" region. If this were genuine (see text) it would signify high-quality p-type conduction. Data used for these low-temperature results was limited to lower magnetic fields so as to avoid the Shubnikov-de Haas regime.---**127**

Figure 6.8 A summary of results extracted from magnetoresistance measurements. The mobilities of the two main peaks in the spectra are shown (ascribed to the 2DEG and the dopant) as are the effective sheet densities obtained by their integration. Mobilities and sheet densities measured directly using assumption of the single-carrier Hall effect at low magnetic field are also shown. At low temperatures, the low-field Hall effect gives the correct 2DEG parameters; at high temperatures where there is parallel conduction there is a slight discrepancy. The mobility spectrum analysis yields unphysically high sheet densities for the 2DEG at high temperatures.---**128**

Figure 6.9 A summary of results extracted from magnetoresistance measurements. Mobilities and sheet densities measured directly using assumption of the single-carrier Hall effect at low magnetic field (where Equations 4.15, 4.17 and 4.18 should apply) and at high magnetic field (where 4.16 should apply, not applicable in the quantum limit) are shown, alongside results from the derived analyses of the spectrum, Equations 6.17, 6.18, 6.20 and 6.21. The calculated drift mobility should not be larger than the measured high field value: this is another sign the something may be wrong.---**129**

Figure 6.10 Dependence on temperature of the resistivity of the n-type device; directly measured data (at 150nA) is the solid line, symbols are results from mobility spectrum analysis. The Fermi temperature of the electron gas (calculated from its zero-temperature carrier concentration) is 70K, and it can be seen the the resistance is changing dramatically in this region. As the temperature decreases to a few Kelvin, the resistivity saturates. Freeze-out of the dopant layer can clearly be seen.---**130**

Figure 6.11 Calculated and measured resistivity as a function of temperature, to be compared with similar results in the previous chapter. Fitting parameters are given in the text. --**140**

Figure 6.12 Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations as a function of temperature, at a current of 150nA. For comparison, two results at 350mK using different currents are shown. The sheet density found from the period of the oscillations in inverse magnetic field is $4.7\times10^{11}cm^{-2}$, which must be multiplied by two because of valley degeneracy. Spin degeneracy also applies at these field values, which is why the minima are at filling factors that are multiples of four. (See Section 4.1.3 and Figure 4.2)---**142**

Figure 6.13 Shubnikov-de Haas oscillations as a function of current, at a temperature of 350mK. The zero-field value of the resistivity as a function of current is shown in Figure 6.14; the important notion here is that the amplitude of the oscillation is related to the temperature of the carrier gas (Equations 4.24 and 4.25) and there is no major decay in amplitude until the current reaches 1μA.--**143**

Figure 6.14 The zero-field value of the resistivity as a function of current. When compared to Figure 6.11 it is clear that the forms for the current and temperature dependent resistivity do not obviously map to each other.--**145**

Figure 6.15 Shubnikov-de Haas and Quantum Hall Effects at a temperature of 350mK. The dotted line is the transverse resistivity and is associated with the y-axis on the right. Minima in resistivity are labelled with their corresponding filling factors. Conduction is dissipationless at ν=4. The feature at ν=5 corresponds to valley splitting, that at ν=10 corresponds to spin splitting.---**147**

Figure 6.16 IV data taken close to the dissipationless state at the ν=4 quantum Hall plateau. At ν =4 itself, there is essentially no voltage drop (that is discernable above the noise floor) along the sample until a critical current of 3μA is applied. At higher filling factors (lower fields) there are decreasing deviations from perfect Ohmic behaviour. ----------------------------------**149**

Figure 6.17 Magnetoresistance results at 350mK, showing the effects of illumination by infra-red radiation.--**151**

Figure 6.18 The resistivity as a function of temperature, demonstrating the effects of infra-red radiation. The continuous traces were taken by cooling the device under constant (illuminated or dark) conditions; the points joined by lines show results taken at stabilized temperature values with intermittent illumination.---**153**

Figure 6.19 The resistivity as a function of time, demonstrating the effects of infra-red radiation. At time zero, illumination ceases, and the value of resistivity decays back to its dark value. At 4.2K, the decay is much more persistent than at 10K.----------------------------**154**

Figure 7.1 Proposed band profile of coupled-channel devices. Electron and hole gases co-exist within ~100nm of each other.--**168**

Figure 7.2 Conventional CMOS versus vertical CMOS: the case for a simple inverter is shown, with the circuit schematic at the top right. In the case of familiar conventional CMOS, the p-channel and n-channel devices sit side by side and the conducting channels are formed at the interface between the silicon and the oxide. In the case of vertical CMOS, a positive gate bias forms an n-channel at the oxide interface and a negative gate bias forms a p-channel at the upper heterointerface, as the band profiles in Figure 7.3 show.-----------------**170**

Figure 7.3 Proposed band profiles of a vertical CMOS device. The substrate (here shown to be slightly positively doped) is to the right, and the left edge of the profile is the silicon-silicon dioxide interface. Negative gate bias (upper profile) forms a hole gas at the top of the $Si_{1-x}Ge_x$ alloy and positive gate bias (lower profile) forms an electron gas at the oxide interface. The gases do not co-exist, as in Figure 7.1. If the gate bias in the upper panel becomes slightly more negative, then the valence band will reach the Fermi level and a hole gas will form at the oxide interface.--**171**

Figure 7.4 The heterostructure as grown on wafer 50/51. 50/52 and 50/53 differ in the manner shown.---**173**

Figure 7.5 The pattern of a double Hall bar, a typical device. Metal (for contact pads and the gate) is shown in blue. Black areas are windows through the oxide. Red areas show the extent of the heterostructure (Figure 7.4) itself. Shallow n^+ implants are green, deep p^+ implants are pink. The Hall bar itself is 20µm wide.---**175**

Figure 7.6 Drain current characteristics of a gated Hall bar on wafer 50/51, as measured using shallow n^+ contacts. Characteristics are similar to those of an n-type MOSFET.------**177**

Figure 7.7 Transfer characteristics of a gated Hall bar, as measured using shallow n^+ contacts. Characteristics are similar to those of an n-type MOSFET.----------------------------**179**

Figure 7.8 Drain current characteristics of a gated Hall bar, as measured using deep p^+ contacts. Characteristics bear little resemblance to those of a p-type MOSFET.--------------**180**

Figure 7.9 Transfer characteristics of a gated Hall bar, as measured using deep p$^+$ contacts, following on from Figure 7.8.--**181**

Figure 7.10 Drain current characteristics of a gated Hall bar device on wafer 50/53, as measured using shallow n$^+$ contacts.---**183**

Figure 7.11 Transfer characteristics of a gated Hall bar on wafer 50/53, as measured using shallow n$^+$ contacts. The anomaly at V_{GS}=-100V is caused by the limited compliance of the gate voltage source and the capacitance of the device.--**184**

Figure 7.12 Drain current characteristics of a device on wafer 50/53, as measured using deep p$^+$ contacts under the influence of a *positive* gate bias.---------------------------------------**186**

Figure 7.13 Transfer characteristics of a gated Hall bar on wafer 50/53, as measured using deep p$^+$ contacts. The anomaly at V_{GS}=-100V is caused by the limited compliance of the gate voltage source and the capacitance of the device.---**187**

Figure 7.14 Transfer characteristics of a (different) gated Hall bar on wafer 50/53 as measured using deep p$^+$ contacts. Smaller V_{DS} has been applied in order to minimize conduction through the electron inversion layer, so that this figure can be compared in form with Figure 7.9.---**188**

Figure 7.15 IV curve at 10K showing a deep, p$^+$ contact 'frozen out.' The resistance at 0V V_{DS} is almost 100MΩ, making the contact useless.---**191**

Figure 7.16 Temperature dependence of transconductance of a device on wafer 50/51 as measured using deep p$^+$ contacts, showing the development of the hysteresis. The drain voltage is 100mV.--**192**

Figure 7.17 The transconductance characteristics of the n- and p-channels of a device on wafer 50/51 at 10K, showing hysteresis. The drain voltage is 100mV, the n-channel threshold voltage is around 30V, greater if the gate voltage is decreasing rather than increasing.-----**194**

Figure 7.18 With the drain connected to the deep p+ contacts and the source connected to the shallow n$^+$ contacts, V_{DS} was held at 0V whilst V_{GS} was swept. The bending of the bands in response to the gate potential induces a small current between the deep and shallow contacts. A feature can be seen at +20V which corresponds to the formation of the electron inversion layer.---**195**

Figure 7.19 Single carrier Hall calculations (from Chapter 4) applied to ρ_{XX} and ρ_{XY} data from the deep p$^+$ contacts of a Hall bar device on wafer 50/51 at 10K. The solid line is data taken as the gate voltage decreases from +100V to -100V, the dotted line is data taken as the gate voltage increases. This should be compared with the low temperature data in Figure 7.16. --**197**

Figure 7.20 The same data as in Figure 7.19 but plotted as (Hall) mobility against sheet density. The data representing decreasing gate voltage (leftward-pointing triangles, solid line) stands out as a high mobility peak at a sheet densities just over 1×10^{12}cm^{-2}.-------------------**199**

Figure 7.21 Results of simulations of 50/51 which solve the one-dimensional Poisson equation. The temperature is 300K. The x-axis scale is arbitrary, since it does not take into account the oxide thickness or interface charge. The two important features are that the electron and hole gases never coexist, and that the density of holes in the alloy reaches a relatively small value before the cap layer begins to populate. ------------------------------------**202**

Figure 7.22 Results of simulations of 50/51 similar to Figure 7.21 but with a temperature of 77K. Again, the electron and hole gases never coexist.---**204**

Figure 7.23 Results of simulations of 50/53 which solve the one-dimensional Poisson equation. The temperature is 300K. The alloy population reaches a slightly larger value than in Figure 7.21 before the cap layer begins to populate, but otherwise the important features are the same.---**205**

Figure 7.24 Proposed (low temperature) band profile of a device which will feature co-existing electron and hole 2-dimensional gases.--**210**